# CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY OFFICE OF NATIONAL ESTIMATES

29 June 1951

SUBJECT: SE-8: POSSIBLE COMMUNIST OBJECTIVES IN SUGGESTING A CHASE-FIRE IN KOREA (For Board consideration)

1. On 23 June, Soviet UN Chief Delegate Malik, speaking on a UN program series, presented a vague suggestion that the "belligerents" should start discussions for a "cease fire and armistice" in Korea. On 27 June Malik's statement was clarified to some extent by Soviet Acting Foreign Minister Gromyko, who stated to US Ambassador Kirk that the representatives of parties fighting in Korea ("representatives of the Unified Command plus South Korean Command of the North Korean People's Republic Command plus representatives of the Chinese Volunteer Units") should meet and conclude a military armistice, to include a cease-fire. Such an armistice, Gromyko said, would be limited to strictly military issues and would not involve political or territorial matters. Gromyko also said that assurances against resumption of hostilities should be discussed between the military representatives formulating the terms of the military armistice.

2. Peiping's only reaction to the Malik speech was contained in an editorial in the semi-official Peiping People's

Daily. The editorial generally endorsed Malik's proposal, but
failed to clarify Peiping's attitude on the cease-fire. The
only other significant Communist development in connection with
the cease-fire suggestion was a reported North Korean broadcast
on 27 June in which the Communist propaganda line calling on
the People's Army to drive the enemy into the sea was changed
to driving "the enemy within the 38th Parallel."

#### CURRENT SITUATION IN NORTH KOREA AND MANCHURIA

million casualties in the face of a substantial increase in the effectiveness of UN forces. North Korean losses have strained the limits of available North Korean manpower, and North Korean forces are now capable of only limited offensive actions. The Chinese Communists can replace their heavy casualties and can continue large scale military operations in Korea if they receive assistance from the Soviet Union in replacing equipment losses. Unless, however, the Chinese Communists develop and employ substantial numbers of heavily equipped troops strong air support, they not only will continue to be unsuccessful in attempts to defeat UN forces also but, may well suffer a eventually deserted diven from North Korea.

# Approved For Release 2000/08/39 REGIA-RDP79S01011A000400020016-7

The Chinese Communist Air Forces has been steadily expanded, and its combat effectiveness has been greatly increased by the addition of over 400 jet aircraft supplied by the USSR. With a total of approximately 1,000 aircraft available, the Chinese Communists now possess a far greater air capability than they had last Novembers may, within a few months, be capable of contesting UN air superiority in North Kores, It is doubtfulgif they will seen have available sufficient Chinese troops in Manchuria trained and equipped with heavy weapons to offset the present heavy advantage of UN forces in firepower. Over an extended period of time the Chinese may have such a force, as there are fairly reliable indications that some tanks and heavy equipment are being supplied and that some training in their use is going on in Manchuria. There is also a possibility that the USSR has agreed to train and equip at least 30 Chinese Communist divisions. A Even should the essential heavy equipment be available in Manchuria Communist logistic difficulties, would continue as at present to prevent the movement of the necessary amounts of material and manpower to the Korean front to permit Communist forces to sustainflarge-scale offensives un bee the Communication were able not only to context but to win, air superiority in North Korea, 5. There is some evidence of limited Soviet participation in the Korean war, beyond the provision of aircraft, radar,

## Approved For Release 2000/08/29 FOR RDP79S01011A000400020016-7

antiaircraft equipment, and technical personnel. Soviet pilots may have flown jet aircraft in combat and fairly reliable reports state that Soviet crews are manning antiaircraft equipment in North Korea. There are also unconfirmed reports of Soviet combat troops in many locations throughout Manchuria, including towns near the Korean border, but the available evidence does not give and clear indication of an significant increase of Soviet participation in the near future.

6. Recent POW reports indicate growing friction between Chinese and North Koreans and possibly serious food situation in North Korea. The reports also indicate that Communist morale in Korea has been good except among troops exposed to several days of combat under very severe conditions.

A.

Discussion Cease-Firemulation of Bringing Negotiations

7. The Kremlin may have made its cease-fire proposal to goin a military respite of extended have duration and with no intention of carrying through the negotiations to the conclusions of a cease-fire with Politburo may have reasoned

that the mere announcement of a Soviet "desire" to bring

have intended to calcule a cease-fire and

commistica of write of directoring, SECRET

chin, papproximation molitary so pite preparatory to a recumption of horilitation

## Approved For Release 2000/08/29 SER RDP79S01011A000400020016-7

about a cease-fire would be a potent propaganda weapon in the Soviet "peace" campaign, and that a subsequent accusation, however fraudulent, that the UN refused to cooperate in a peaceful settlement" could be exploited in further support of that campaign. The Kremlin may also have estimated that the mere suggestion of a cease-fire would bring to the fore divergent views on the conditions for a Korean settlement, with divisive effects within and among the UN countries (a great divergence of views is already evident between the Republic of Korea and its UN allies). Finally in view of the considerable Communist build-up in the Far East, the Kremlin may intend to engineer.

Soviet support to the Chinese Communists and North Koreans and which could possibly the Soviet forces in combat.

Although the Kremlin will undoubtedly press vigorously the propaganda advantages of its proposal and will be quick to exploit any division which might appear among the UN allies, these advantages would be merely temporary if the Communists

be little assurance that the USSR would gain substantial advantable of the Cittle in attempting to exploit the Soviet cease-fire proposal to "justify" a subsequent increase in Soviet support of the Chinese Communists and North Koreans.

## Approved For Release 2000/08/29 EDB - RDP79S010144000400020016-7

heavy weapons, and the Kremlin probably estimates that Soviet efforts to provide them would eventually lead to such thinly-veiled commitment of Soviet forces against US forces that the US and USSR would be engaged in a de facto local war, which, if the US felt compelled to recognize its existence, might at any time develop into a general war. We have estimated that at the present time the USSR wishes to avoid general war with the US, and, therefore, we consider this course of action unlikely as a way of breaking the present military deadlock.

B. Longo Though Suit Temporary Miles, Respite as a result of Conclusion a Cease-Fire of Limited Duration and Thirty Keeping

10. The Soviet cease-fire proposal may have been intended to result in conclusion of a cease-fire and armistice of limited duration, thus providing the Communists a longer though still burnter.

improve their logistic and tactical situation both within

Korea itself and in areas beyond the cognizance of UN inspection teams (e.g., Manchuria). A cease-fire could serve to
interrupt successful UN military action in Korea at a point
where important Communist military positions are about to be
overrun, and during a season of the year particularly favorable to the use of mechanized ground equipment and naval and

dir power.

temporary military respite

pproved For Referee 2000/08/29 : CIA-RDP79S01011A000400020016-7

And the state of t

Approved For Release 2000/08/29 : CIA-RDP79S01011A000400020016-71, CIA-RDP79S01011A0004000020016-71, CIA-RDP79S0101A0004000020016-71, CIA-RDP79S0104-71, CIA-RDP79S010 certain, however, that Communist. efforts of the scope necessary to change the military balance of power in Korea materially would be detected at an early stage and would presumably be violations of the cease-fire C Prebability of this course ofaction Furthermore, the nature of Communist needs in Korea would call for increased Soviet support, possibly leading to the open commitment of Soviet forces, thus compounding the risks of war with the US which we have estimated the USSR wishes to avoid at this time. Thus, given Soviet reluctance to precipitate general war with the US, the advantages of a temporary respite to the Communist forces would be limited and would be unlikely basically to alter the military situation in Korea. A possible Communist course of action would be to meet the UN demands for an armistice, but to prolong territorial, and political gotiations for a final military, to Jmake any important settlement without actua concessions which would permit such a settlement.

(a) Argument for such a course of action:

(i) A prolonged armistice would, in effect, restore the status quo ante bellum. In the light of the heavy

# Approved For Release 2000/08/29 . CIA-RDP79S01011A000400020016-7

that de facto control over the area north of the 38th Parallel represented the most favorable terms on which the Korean war could be brought to a close. The new propagands line emanating from North Korea (see para. 2) Indicates that the Communists may have significantly lowered their sights in Korea.

Gommunists to a seed UN requirements for a final settlement and, moreover the challenge the Chinese Communists to retreat from their original political demands (a seat in the UN, control over Formosa, etc.) with the consequent loss of face which such a retreat would entail.

(iii) A prolonged armistice without a final publication of approximately the present settlement would tie up UN forces of approximately the present for an indefinite period in an area remote from the more important area of Western Europes

(iv) This course of action me subject UN troops in the field to a debilitating and demoralizing period of inaction and create official and popular pressures on the part of the UN belligerents to withdraw their forces from Korea.

(v) slow down the rate of mobilization and rearmament of the free world.

Approved For Release 2000/08/29 SCR RDP79S01011A000400020016-7 provide the Chinese Communists with an extended respite which they could use to further consolidate their control within China. 1 protongedarnishi wow It will give the Communists time to increase the combat effectiveness of forces in training, including such elite forces as may be training with modern Soviet weapons in Manchuria. The availability of these troops would substantially increase Communist capabilities for operations In Keres if hostiffice were resumd. prolongedormentie would I will give the Peiping regime time to strengthen its defenses along the east-coast in-pre possible Nationalist assaults, and/or permit Peiping to build up strength in South China for possible action against Southeast Asia or Hong Kong and in East China for a possible invasion of, provide the USSR with the appear At (ix) Aprilmoda exact pressure on vulnerable areas in Europe and the Middle (b) Arguments against this course of action: the morale of effect on Communist forces in Korea and might also aggravate existing frictions between the Chinese and the North Koreans. a prolonged armitice in Krane ght also strain Sino-Soviet relations by bringing to the fore problems of control over North Korea and possibly Manchuria, moule-buil

SECRET

Approved For Release 2000/08/29: CIA-RDP79S01011A000400020016-7

#### SECRET

terms of an armistice might provide an opportunity for UN inspection teams to obtain information that would limite Soviet capsbilities for military and political exploitation of the Communist position in North Korea.

#### (c) Probability of this course of action:

we believe this course of action is the one that
the Communists are most likely to follow. By agreeing to an
armistice and prolonging indefinitely the discussions of a
final settlement, the Communists may well obtain for an exleft they could hope for out of a final
military, and territorial concessions and without form
final settlement without paying the price in the form of political,
military, and territorial concessions and without form
of international prestige that mild be involved in a final
settlement on terms that did not satisfy the Communist objective of control of all Korea.

On Armistice to be Fellowed by Military, Territorial, and
Political Settlement.

13. A first possible course of action for the Communists would be to agree to UN armistice terms as a first step toward the first a final military, territorial, and political settlement of the Korean conflict.

# (a) Arguments for this course of action:

(i) The final resolution of the Korean conflict would permit the Communists to close out what may be a costly and unrewarding incident. It is conceivable that both the USSR and Communist China are so unprepared for global war that they would be willing to suffer the second permits of second permits and control of their own chocsing.

permit the Chinese Communists to complete the consolidation of China, to redeploy and strengthen their forces for military operations against what they may estimate as being more important areas in Southeast Asia or against Taiwan.

(iii) A final settlement of the Korean would result in the withdrawal of UN forces from Korea and would remove what Chiare Communists and Resolved the security of Manchuria and the Soviet Union.

(iv) The withdrawal of UN troops that would follow final settlement of the Korean conflict would permit the Communists to pursue by political and subversive means what they fail to achieve by military methods.

(v) A final settlement of the Korean war would slow the rate of Western remrmament and mobilization by removing the sense of urgency which the hostilities in Korea have created.

### (b) Arguments against this course of action:

(1) The terms on which UN agreement can be obwould unclude position for
tained for a final settlement in Korea will probably enterly the
eventual unification of Korea under a democratic type government
charm in five supervised elections and such settlement to contain the
which the Communists will probably regard as a threat to their
would
security.

that the description of the body depleted and greatly disorganized Korean Communist formation the absence of strong support from the Chinese Communists or the USSR, which support would prebably be precluded by the terms of the final settlement.

(iii) A final settlemeth in Korea on terms that
the UN statement would be a tremendous propaganda victory
for the West and would destroy the myth that Communism is an
irresistible force with a consequent of the prestige
of both Communist China and the USSR throughout the world to consequent and the Far East in particularly in

# Approved For Release 2000/08/29 SEPERDP79S01011A000400020016-7

# (c) Probability of this course of action:

Unless the USSR and Communist China are sotually

so week at this times to desire to liquidate the Korean conthat they would appear doubtful that they all would not
flict solarly price, it would appear doubtful that they all would not
retreat very far from their previously announced torms. Since

we believe that the USSR and Communist China are at in fact would such
of a final settlement over and above a prolonged armistice would
be the removal of UN forces from Korea (and It may be that in
terms of global considerations they would prefer to see UN
forces tied up in Korea), we estimate that the USSR and Communist omina are probably not interested in pursuing peet

armistice negotiations with a view toward a final settlement
on terms are plable

#### CONCLUSIONS :

in proposing a cease-fire in Korea or that course of action in the actually intends to pursue. It is more possible that the Kremlin itself has not yet reached a final decision, but The Granicus may rather much seems of action it could retain under cease-fire how much freedom of action it could retain under cease-fire

# Approved For Release 2000/08/29 : CIA-RDP79S01011A000400020016-7

terms, or to conclude a cease-fire agreement and then enter into a discussion of military, territorial, and political issues while simultaneously building up Communist military strength while in North Korea or in Manchuria. The kind could in this way avoid a final commitment to any me course of action until in the had an opportunity to study the political and military reactions of the West to the developing situation of the strength o

15. Nevertheless. In view of the foregoing analysis, we believe that the Communists probably have decided to forego of UN forces) their maximum objective in Korea (the a protracted armistice. attempt to gain maximum political and psychological advantage en p global basis from their tactical retreat while minimizing the loss of prestige involved in their abandonment of their maximum objective possible objectives in proposing a cease-fire, We most probable is the objective of indefinite duration, which y status quo ante bellum. To the Communists fire on these terms, we believe they probably will programme advantages while up their capabilities for cantimed military opera-

25X1A9a

Long annual to

tions as

9 presibly with increased Soviet support

# Approved For Release 2000/08/29 : CIA-RDP79S01011A000400020016-7

16. Although we believe the most probable Communist course of action to be an attempt to negotiation an armistice, we do not believe that in the post-armistice negotiations the Communists will retreat substantially from previous Chinese Communist and Soviet political demands for a Korean settlement.